1	EILEEN M. DECKER		
2	United States Attorney LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON		
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division		
4	DOUGLAS M. MILLER (SBN: 240398) MACK E. JENKINS (SBN: 242101)		
	Assistant United States Attorneys		
5	Public Corruption & Civil Rights Section 1500 United States Courthouse		
6	312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012		
7	Telephone: (213) 894-2216/2091		
8	Facsimile: (213) 894-6436 E-mail: douglas.m.miller@usdoj.gov		
9	mack.jenkins@usdoj.gov		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 14-103-CAS	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING	
15	v.	(1) CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND (2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL	
16	RONALD S. CALDERON and THOMAS M. CALDERON,	ACT	EEDI IKIAL
17		CURRENT DATES:	
18	Defendants.		03/01/16 01/11/16
19		FINAL STATUS CONF.	02/08/16
		[PROPOSED] DATES:	
20			05/10/16 02/22/16
21			04/04/16
22			
23			
24			
25	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel		

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of California and Assistant United States Attorneys Douglas M. Miller and Mack E. Jenkins, and defendants RONALD S. CALDERON and THOMAS M.

CALDERON, both individually and through their respective counsel of record, Mark Geragos and Shepard Kopp, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. The Indictment in this case was filed on February 20, 2014. Defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending on February 21, 2014. Defendant RONALD S. CALDERON first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending on February 24, 2014. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required that the trial for defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON commence on or before May 1, 2014. It originally required that the trial for defendant RONALD S. CALDERON commence on or before May 5, 2014.
- 2. The case was originally assigned to United States District Court Judge Michael W. Fitzgerald. On February 27, 2014, Judge Fitzgerald recused himself from the case and it was reassigned to United States District Court Fernando M. Olguin for all further proceedings. On March 4, 2014, Judge Olguin recused himself from the case and it was reassigned to this Court for all further proceedings.
- 3. The case against defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON and RONALD S. CALDERON is currently set for trial on March 1, 2016. Defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON is currently out of custody on a \$25,000 appearance bond pending trial. Defendant RONALD S. CALDERON is currently out of custody on a \$50,000 appearance bond pending trial. The parties estimate that the trial in this matter will last approximately 10 days, but could possibly last longer depending on the defense case, if any.
- 4. The Court has previously continued the trial date in this case, most recently from August 11, 2015 to March 1, 2016, and found

the interim period to be excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act.

- 5. By this stipulation, the parties jointly move for the fifth continuance of the trial date and ask that it be moved to May 10, 2016. The parties further request that the Court set a status conference regarding jury questionnaires on February 22, 2016 and a final status conference on April 4, 2016.
- 6. The parties request the continuance based upon the following facts, which the parties believe demonstrate good cause to support the appropriate findings under the Speedy Trial Act:
- a. Defendant RONALD S. CALDERON is charged with ten counts of mail and wire fraud through the deprivation of honest services (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343, and 1346), four counts of bribery (18 U.S.C. § 666), one count of conspiracy to commit money laundering (18 U.S.C. § 1956(h), seven counts of money laundering (18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i), and two counts of tax fraud (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2). Defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON is charged with one count of conspiracy to commit money laundering (18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) and seven counts of money laundering (18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)). Based on the nature of the charges and the government's trial estimate, the case has been designated complex.
- b. Defense counsel for defendant RONALD S. CALDERON is presently scheduled to be in the following civil and criminal trials between now and the March 1, 2016 trial date in this case: (1) Gayane Avetisyan v. Sharkey's Bail Bonds, Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number BC506343, which is scheduled to begin on January 5, 2016; (2) People v. Haghverdi, Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number 3SR04574, which is scheduled to begin on January 29, 2016; (3) People v. Mack,

- Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number BA395877, which is scheduled to begin on February 1, 2016; (4) LA Sleep Studies v. Anthem, Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number BC523104, which is scheduled to begin on February 2, 2016; and (5) In re Taco Bell Wage & Hour Actions, EDCA 1:07-cv-1314-LJO-SAB, which is scheduled to begin on February 22, 2016 and last approximately one month. Accordingly, counsel represents that he will not have the time that he believes is necessary to prepare to try this case on the current trial date.
- c. In light of the foregoing, counsel for defendant RONALD S. CALDERON also represents that additional time is necessary to confer with defendants, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the case, conduct and complete additional legal research, review the discovery and potential evidence in the case, and prepare for trial in the event that a pretrial resolution does not occur. Defense counsel for defendant RONALD S. CALDERON represents that failure to grant the continuance would deny him reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d. On December 23, 2015, the Court ruled on two motions that had been pending since May 26, 2015 and on December 18, 2015, the government filed an ex parte application for the return of certain discovery, which remains pending before the court, and defendants are scheduled to file oppositions to the government's exparte application on January 11, 2016.
- e. Defendant RONALD S. CALDERON believes that failure to grant the continuance will deny him continuity of counsel and adequate representation.

- f. Defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON, his counsel, and the government do not object to the continuance.
- g. The requested continuance is not based on congestion of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation on the part of the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the part of the attorney for the Government to obtain available witnesses.
- 7. For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which defendant's trial must commence, the parties agree that the time period of March 1, 2016 to May 10, 2016, inclusive, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) because the delay results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request, without government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 8. In addition, the parties agree that the time period of May 26, 2015 to December 23, 2015, inclusive, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D), because it constitutes a delay resulting from pretrial motions, from the filing of the motions through the prompt resolution of the motions.

Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that 9. 1 other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time 2 3 periods be excluded from the period within which trial must commence. 4 Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy 5 Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. Respectfully submitted, 8 Dated: January 4, 2016 9 EILEEN M. DECKER United States Attorney 10 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON 11 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 12 13 /s/ DOUGLAS M. MILLER 14 MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorneys 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff 16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 17 // 18 // 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

I am defendant RONALD S. CALDERON's attorney. I have carefully		
discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the		
trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his		
Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those		
rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's		
decision to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than May		
10, 2016 is a sinformed and voluntary one. $1/2 \int 16$		
MARK GERAGOS Date		
Attorney for Defendant Ronald S. Calderon		

I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date, and give up my right to be grought to trial earlier than May 10, 2016.

RONALD S. CANDERGAD Date

Defendant

I am defendant THOMAS M. CALDERON's attorney. I have carefully discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those