

TRACY L. WILKISON 1 Attorney for the United States, Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515 3 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division JOSEPH T. MCNALLY (Cal. Bar No. 250289) 5 ASHWIN JANAKIRAM (Cal. Bar No. 277513) SCOTT D. TENLEY (Cal. Bar No. 298911) 6 Assistant United States Attorneys United States Courthouse 7 411 West Fourth Street Santa Ana, California 92701 8 Telephone: (213) 894-2875 Facsimile: (714) 338-3561 9 Email: ashwin.janakiram@usdoj.gov 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL CAPEN,

Defendant.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

18

NO. SACR18-00/24 JLS

PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT DANIEL CAPEN

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between DANIEL CAPEN ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("the USAO") in the above-captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

## DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 2. Defendant agrees to:
  - Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, a.

at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to counts one and two of an information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form (the "information"), which charges defendant with conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, and Receipt of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(1)(A).

- b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the USAO.
- h. Not seek the discharge of any restitution obligation, in whole or in part, in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.
- i. Defendant understands and acknowledges that as a result of pleading guilty pursuant to this agreement, defendant will be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid, and all Federal health care

programs. Defendant agrees to complete and execute all necessary documents provided by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, or any other department or agency of the federal government, to effectuate this exclusion within 60 days of receiving the documents. This exclusion will not affect defendant's right to apply for and receive benefits as a beneficiary under any Federal health care program, including Medicare and Medicaid.

## 3. Defendant further agrees:

- a. To forfeit the sum of \$5,000,000.00 (five million dollars) (the "Forfeitable Property"), which Forfeitable Property defendant agrees (1) constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C. §\$ 371, including the objects of the conspiracy, and 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b); (2) was used to facilitate and was involved in violations of 18 U.S.C. §\$ 371, including the objects of the conspiracy, and 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b); and (3) shall, at the sole election of the United States of America, be criminally forfeited or civilly forfeited, administratively or judicially, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981, 18 U.S.C. § 982, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, or otherwise.
- b. To withdraw any claim defendant may have submitted to any federal agency in any administrative forfeiture proceedings commenced by that agency with respect to the Forfeitable Property. Defendant further waives his rights, if any, to any initial or further notice relative to any administrative forfeiture proceedings. Defendant understands, acknowledges, and agrees that the Forfeitable Property shall, at the sole election of the United States of America, be administratively forfeited to the United States of America without any further notice.

c. To pay the Forfeitable Property to the United States of America, at least in part, as follows:

- (i) within sixty (60) days of defendant's execution of this plea agreement, defendant shall pay \$2,000,000 (two million dollars) by, at the United States of America's sole option

  (1) delivering to the USAO a cashier's check payable in that amount to the government entity identified in writing by the USAO, or (2) wire transferring the funds to an account designated in writing by the USAO; and
- (ii) At least thirty (30) days before defendant's sentencing, defendant shall pay \$1,500,000 million (one million five hundred thousand dollars) by, at the United States of America's sole option (1) delivering to the USAO a cashier's check payable in that amount to the government entity identified in writing by the USAO, or (2) wire transferring the funds to an account designated in writing by the USAO.
- d. To refrain from contesting the forfeiture (by filing a claim, statement of interest, petition for an ancillary proceeding, petition for remission or otherwise) of the Forfeitable Property in any administrative or judicial proceeding, or assisting any other person or entity in falsely contesting the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property in any administrative or judicial proceeding.
- e. To take all steps necessary to pass to the United States of America clear title to the Forfeitable Property, including, without limitation, the execution of consent judgments of forfeiture, the entry of any additional money judgments of forfeiture, the identification of all monies, properties and assets of any kind owned and/or controlled by defendant, the liquidation of any item of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Forfeitable Property in the manner required by the United States of America in its sole discretion, the transmission of any item of the Forfeitable Property to the United States of America upon request by the USAO and the completion of any other legal documents required for the transfer of title to the Forfeitable Property to the United States of America.

- f. To prevent the disbursement of the Forfeitable

  Property without the authorization of the USAO, if such disbursements

  are within defendant's direct or indirect control.
- To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture, including any personal money judgment of forfeiture, at or before sentencing with respect to the Forfeitable Property and to the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives (i) the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment; (ii) all constitutional and statutory challenges in any manner (including by direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this agreement on any grounds; and (iii) all constitutional, legal and equitable defenses to the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property in any proceeding on any grounds including, without limitation, that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. Defendant also acknowledges and understands that the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(J), at the time defendant's guilty plea is accepted.

- 4. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, Federal Bureau of Investigation, United States Postal Service-Office of Inspector General, IRS-Criminal Investigation, and California Department of Insurance, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:
- a. Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b. Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c. Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- d. If requested to do so by the USAO, act in an undercover capacity to the best of defendant's ability in connection with criminal investigations by federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement authorities, in accordance with the express instructions of those law enforcement authorities. Defendant agrees not to act in an undercover capacity, tape record any conversations, or gather any evidence except after a request by the USAO and in accordance with express instructions of federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement authorities.
- 5. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records, tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant

pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement or pursuant to the letter agreement previously entered into by the parties, dated on or about December 11, 2017, as extended for subsequent proffer sessions and designated cooperation-related document productions prior to the effective date of this agreement (the "Letter Agreement"); and (2) "Plea Information" shall mean any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.

## THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

6. The USAO agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. Except for criminal tax violations (including conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C. § 371), not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to factual basis set forth in paragraph 22 below and in the attached Exhibit B. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).
- d. Subject to paragraph 24, at the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility

for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.

- e. Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, provided that the offense level used by the Court to determine that range is 27 or higher. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A, without regard to reductions in the term of imprisonment that may be permissible through the substitution of community confinement or home detention as a result of the offense level falling within Zone B or Zone C of the Sentencing Table.
- f. To the extent paid prior to defendant's sentencing, credit any amount defendant paid to resolve any civil claims arising out of the conduct set forth in paragraph 22 and the attached Exhibit B to this agreement, towards defendant's payment of the Forfeitable Property.
  - 7. The USAO further agrees:

. 28

a. Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information.

Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to

other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence offered, or argument or representation made, by defendant, defendant's counsel, or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- b. Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 1B1.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- c. In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- d. If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under paragraphs 2 through 4 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to recommend a term of imprisonment within this reduced range. In

making this determination and determining the extent of any motion, the government may take into account benefits conferred to defendant as a result of this plea agreement.

### DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

8. Defendant understands the following:

- a. Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- b. Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c. Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty plea if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.
- d. The USAO's determination whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation.

### NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

9. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one of the information, that is, conspiracy, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, the following must be true: (1) between in or about 1998 and in

or about March 2013, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341, 1343, and 1346 (Honest Services Mail and Wire Fraud); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3) (Interstate Travel in Aid of Bribery); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957 (Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity); and Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(1), (b)(2) (Solicitation/Receipt and Offering/Paying Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program); (2) the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and (3) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

10. Defendant understands that Honest Services Mail and Wire Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341 and 1346, and 1343 and 1346, each an object of the conspiracy charged in the information, has the following elements: (1) the defendant devised or participated in a scheme or plan to deprive a patient of his or her right to honest services; (2) the scheme or plan included payments of bribes and kickbacks to medical professionals in exchange for medical services or items; (3) the medical professionals owed a fiduciary duty to the patients; (4) the defendant acted with the intent to defraud by depriving the patients of their right of honest services of the medical professionals; (5) the defendant's act was material, that is, it had a natural tendency to influence, or was capable of influencing, a person's acts; and (6) the defendant used, or caused someone to use, the mails and a wire communication to carry out or attempt to carry out the scheme or plan.

- 11. Defendant understands that Interstate Travel in Aid of Bribery, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3), one of the objects of the conspiracy charged in the information, has the following elements: (1) defendant used the mail or a facility of interstate commerce with the intent to promote, manage, establish, or carry on, or facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, or carrying on, of unlawful activity, specifically payment and receipt of kickbacks in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 650 and California Insurance Code § 750; and (2) after doing so, defendant performed or attempted to perform an act to promote, manage, establish, or carry on, or facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, or carrying on, of such unlawful activity.
- 12. Defendant understands that Transactional Money Laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957, one of the objects of the conspiracy charged in the information, has the following elements: (1) the defendant knowingly engaged or attempted to engage in a monetary transaction; (2) the defendant knew the transaction involved criminally derived property; (3) the property had a value greater than \$10,000; (4) the property was, in fact, derived from specified unlawful activity, namely, honest services mail or wire fraud, health care fraud, or illegal kickbacks for health care referrals; and (5) the transaction occurred in the United States.
- 13. Defendant understands that Payment or Receipt of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program, in violation of Title 42, United States Code, Sections 1320a-7b(b)(2) and (b)(1), each an object of the conspiracy charged in the information, has the

2.6

following elements: (1) defendant knowingly and willfully paid or received remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to or from another person; (2) the remuneration was given to induce that person to refer an individual for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program; and

- (3) defendant knew that such payment of remuneration was illegal.
- 14. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of Receipt of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program, in violation of Title 42, United States Code, Sections 1320a-7b(b)(1), as charged in count two of the information, has the following elements: (1) defendant knowingly and willfully received remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, from another person; (2) the remuneration was given to induce defendant to refer an individual for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program; and (3) defendant knew that such payment of remuneration was illegal.

## PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 15. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, as charged in count one of the information, is: five years' imprisonment, a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greater; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 16. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 42, United States

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(1)(A), is: five years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 17. Defendant therefore understands that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: ten years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.
- 18. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to pay full restitution to the victims of the offenses to which defendant is pleading quilty. Defendant agrees that, in return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the Court may order restitution to persons other than the victims of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and in amounts greater than those alleged in the counts to which defendant is pleading guilty. In particular, defendant agrees that the Court may order restitution to any victim of any of the following for any losses suffered by that victim as a result: (a) any relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with the offenses to which defendant is pleading quilty; and (b) any charges not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement as well as all relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with those charges. parties further agree that any amount forfeited under this agreement and/or paid in order to resolve civil claims arising from the conduct set forth in paragraph 22 and the attached Exhibit B to this agreement shall be credited towards defendant's payment of any

restitution obligation the Court may order, and that any amount actually paid as restitution shall be credited towards the payment of the Forfeitable Property. The parties also agree that payments made to the government in satisfaction of any civil resolution of claims filed under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729, based upon the conduct set forth in forth in paragraph 22 and the attached Exhibit B, shall be deemed payments toward restitution.

- 19. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 20. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.

  Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty pleas, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case, mandatory exclusion from providing services for any federal health care benefit program for at least five years, and suspension or revocation of a

professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

2.2

2.6

21. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony convictions in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony convictions in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

### FACTUAL BASIS

22. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided in the attached Exhibit B and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 24 below, but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

## SENTENCING FACTORS

23. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the offenses of conviction.
```

24. Defendant and the USAO stipulate and agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

```
Base Offense Level:
11
                                         8
                                                 [U.S.S.G. § 2B4.1(a)(2)]
12
    Specific Offense
    Characteristics
13
    Value of Improper Benefit
14
    Conferred to Pacific Hospital
    (between $9.5M and $25M):
                                        +20
                                                 [U.S.S.G. \S 2B4.1(b)(1)(B)]
15
    Abuse of Position of Trust:
                                        +2
                                                 [U.S.S.G. § 3B1.3]
16
    Acceptance of Responsibility:
                                        -3
                                                [U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a)]
17
    Total offense level:
                                        2.7
```

The USAO will agree to a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an additional one-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in paragraphs 2 through 4 and 7(d) are met and if defendant has not committed, and refrains from committing, acts constituting obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, as discussed below. Subject to paragraph 39 below, defendant and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures relating to the offense

3.

level be imposed. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section and to argue that defendant is not entitled to a downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1.

- 25. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 26. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C.  $\S$  3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

### WAIVER OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

27. Having been fully advised by defendant's attorney regarding application of the statute of limitations to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty, defendant hereby knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives, relinquishes, and gives up:

(a) any right that defendant might have not to be prosecuted for the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty because of the expiration of the statute of limitations for those offenses prior to the filling of the information alleging those offenses; and (b) any defense, claim, or argument defendant could raise or assert that prosecution of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is barred by the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial violation.

### WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

28. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:

- a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
- b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the court appoint counsel at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the court appoint counsel at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

### WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTIONS

29. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up

any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

- Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction at or below the high-end of the Sentencing Guidelines range corresponding to a total offense level of 27 and the criminal history category determined by the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires payment of no more than \$10,000,000 (ten million dollars); (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).
- 31. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a postconviction collateral attack on the convictions or sentence,
  including any order of restitution, except a post-conviction
  collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of
  counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or an explicitly
  retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines,
  sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction.

32. The USAO agrees that, provided all portions of the sentence are at or below the total statutory maximum specified above, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

## RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEAS

Defendant agrees that if, after entering quilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing any of defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement, including in particular its obligations regarding the use of Cooperation Information; (b) in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible; and (c) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

17.

## EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

34. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

## BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- 35. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the effective date of this agreement, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:
- a. If defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea.
- b. The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty; and (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use

any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.

- c. The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d. In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.
- 36. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any

speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

## RESULT OF VACATUR, REVERSAL OR SET-ASIDE

37. Defendant agrees that if any count of conviction is vacated, reversed, or set aside, the USAO may: (a) ask the Court to resentence defendant on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from any stipulations regarding sentencing contained in this agreement, (b) ask the Court to void the entire plea agreement and vacate defendant's guilty plea on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from all their obligations under this agreement, or (c) leave defendant's remaining conviction, sentence, and plea agreement intact. Defendant agrees that the choice among these three options rests in the exclusive discretion of the USAO.

## COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 38. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- 39. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the

calculations in paragraph 24 above are consistent with the facts of this case. While this agreement permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this agreement does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

40. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

41. This agreement supersedes and replaces the Letter Agreement. Defendant understands that, except as set forth in this agreement, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

1 PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 2 42. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the 3 entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. 4 5 AGREED AND ACCEPTED 6 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF 7 CALIFORNIA TRACY L. WILKISON 8 Attorney for the United States, 9 Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515 10 11 12 ASHWIN JANAKIRAM Date Assistant United States Attorney 13 14 15 DANIEL CAPEN Date 16 Defendant 17 18 19 DOUGLAS A. Attorney for Defendant 20 DANIEL CAPEN 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING	
2	42. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered	£
3	part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the	
4	entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.	
5	AGREED AND ACCEPTED	
6	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF	
7	CALIFORNIA	
8	TRACY L. WILKISON Attorney for the United States,	
9	Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515	
10		
11	Joe Mill 2 Lon 6/1/18	
12	ASHWIN JANAKIRAM Date Assistant United States Attorney	
13		
14	Sarul A Carn 4-19.18	
15	DANIEL CAPEN Date	
16	Defendant	
17		
18		
19	DOUGLAS A. AXEL Attorney for Defendant	
20	DANIEL CAPEN	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading quilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

Janul Cerp

4.19.18

DANIEL CAPEN Defendant

24

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 26

\_ \_

27

# CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am DANIEL CAPEN's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

18 DOUGLAS A. AXEL

DOUGLAS A. AXLL Attorney for Defendant

DANIEL CAPEN

4/20/18

#### EXHIBIT B

2

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

3

## Relevant Entities

5

4

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

2.3

24

25 26

27

28

Healthsmart Pacific Inc., doing business as Pacific Hospital of Long Beach ("Pacific Hospital" or "PHLB"), was a hospital located in Long Beach, California, specializing in surgeries, particularly spinal and orthopedic surgeries. From in or around 1997 to in or around June 2004, Pacific Hospital was owned by majority shareholder Michael D. Drobot ("Drobot").

On or about September 27, 2005, unindicted co-conspirator A ("UCC-A") effectively became the sole shareholder of Pacific Hospital through his ownership and control of the "[UCC-A] Family Trust," which, in turn, owned Abrazos Healthcare, Inc. ("Abrazos"), a privately held corporation formed and incorporated in February 2005 for the purpose of purchasing shares of Pacific Hospital from Drobot. UCC-A, through Abrazos, also acquired other interests in affiliated entities previously owned and/or controlled by Drobot. Between 1998 and March 2013, Pacific Hopsital was operated and/or controlled by Drobot and UCC-A.

In about June 2006, UCC-A offered defendant DANIEL CAPEN ("defendant"), an orthopedic surgeon, the opportunity to purchase 10% of the common stock of Abrazos to further cement defendant's relationship with Pacific Hospital and incentivize defendant's referral of patients for surgeries and other medical services to Pacific Hospital. While defendant acquired 10% of the common stock of Abrazos, which effectively gave defendant a 10% ownership interest in Pacific Hospital, he did not operate or control the hospital and did not ultimately profit from his investment.

On or about October 12, 2010, Drobot, through an affiliated entity, purchased UCC-A's shares of Abrazos, which effectively provided Drobot a 90% ownership interest in Pacific Hospital, while defendant continued to maintain his 10% ownership interest in Pacific Hospital.

Pacific Specialty Physician Management, Inc. ("PSPM") was a corporation headquartered in Newport Beach, California, that provided administrative and management services for physicians' offices, including the management of the Southwestern Orthopedic Medical Corporation, doing business as Downey Orthopedic Medical Group ("Downey Ortho"). Defendant CAPEN, along with other physicians affiliated with Downey Ortho, maintained a medical practice at various Downey Ortho clinic locations.

California Pharmacy Management LLC ("CPM") was a limited liability company, headquartered in Newport Beach, California, that operated and managed a pharmaceutical dispensing program in medical clinics for physicians. Drobot and Michael R. Drobot Jr. ("Drobot Jr.") owned and/or operated CPM.

Industrial Pharmacy Management LLC ("IPM") was a limited liability company, headquartered in Newport Beach, California. IPM operated and managed a pharmaceutical dispensing program in medical clinics for physicians through the use of pharmaceutical management agreements and claims purchase agreements. Drobot principally owned and controlled IPM until approximately 2010, when Drobot Jr. assumed ownership and control of IPM.

International Implants LLC ("I2") was a limited liability company, headquartered in Newport Beach, California, that purchased implantable medical hardware for use in spinal surgeries from

original manufacturers and sold them to hospitals, particularly Pacific Hospital, starting around July 2008. I2 was effectively owned and/or controlled by Drobot.

PHLB, PSPM, CPM, IPM, and I2 are collectively referred to herein as "Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities."

## The Kickback Arrangements

Defendant was an orthopedic surgeon specializing in spinal surgeries and owed a fiduciary duty to his patients. Beginning in or around 1998 and continuing through at least March 2013, defendant, along with Drobot, UCC-A, Drobot Jr., James Canedo ("Canedo"), George William Hammer ("Hammer"), Timothy Hunt ("Hunt"), and others, agreed to participate and did, in fact, participate in an illegal arrangement to pay and receive kickbacks in exchange for referring and performing surgeries and other patient-related services at Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities. As part of the agreement, defendant agreed to receive proceeds of the kickback scheme, and subsequently participate in financial transactions over \$10,000 involving such proceeds.

To facilitate the payment of kickbacks, Drobot and UCC-A caused Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities to enter into agreements with physicians, including defendant, and other medical professionals ("Pacific Kickback Recipients") that were used to pay kickbacks in exchange for the referral of spinal surgeries, other types of surgeries, magnetic resonance imaging ("MRI"), toxicology ("UDT"), durable medical equipment, and other services (the "Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services") to be performed at Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities.

In many cases, the agreements would be reduced to written contracts, including, among others, collection agreements, option agreements, research and development agreements, lease and rental agreements, consulting agreements, marketing agreements, management agreements, and pharmacy agreements. The written agreements would not specify that one purpose for the agreements would be to induce Pacific Kickback Recipients to refer Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services to Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities; indeed, some of the agreements would specifically state that referrals were not contemplated or a basis for the agreement. Additionally, the value or consideration discussed as part of these arrangements would be paid, entirely or in part, depending on the arrangement, to cause Pacific Kickback Recipients to refer Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services to Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities. Relatedly, the written contracts would generally allow for remuneration to Pacific Kickback Recipients far in excess of any reasonable fair market value assessment of legitimate services or things of value purportedly contracted for -- to the extent calculated without regard to the value of the Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services.

Defendant received remuneration in exchange for referring and performing Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services at Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities. These illegal kickbacks and bribes were provided to defendant under the guise of various arrangements, both written and oral, including a management agreement with PSPM; a medical directorship with Abrazos; payments from Pacific Hospital for UDT referrals obtained through PMR; and payments representing purported consulting fees, bonuses, and dividends.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

For example, under the PSPM management agreement, starting in or about 1998 and continuing until at least March 2013, PSPM facilitated the payment of kickbacks to defendant by subsidizing medical practice costs that would have otherwise been passed on to, and reduced the profits of, defendant and Downey Ortho. More specifically, defendant and other physicians at Downey Ortho entered into an agreement with PSPM to provide management and administration of day-to-day business operations, including equipment and furnishings, billing and collection services, rent, administrative staff salaries, and other miscellaneous expenses. In exchange for these management and administrative services, PSPM was entitled to a percentage of Downey Ortho's monthly collections from patient billings, and, in turn, an allocated share of the monthly collections for defendant and other co-conspirators practicing at Downey Ortho.

According to the terms of the management agreement between PSPM and Downey Ortho, PSPM's management fee, which was calculated as a specified percentage of Downey Ortho's monthly collections, was purportedly: (1) "projected to be sufficient to enable PSPM to recover all of the operating expenses of PSPM [and] generate a reasonable return on investment[;]" and (2) calculated "without taking into account . . . the volume or value of any referrals of business from . . . [Downey Ortho] to PSPM (or its affiliates)[.]" The PSPM management agreement further provided:

No amount paid hereunder is intended to be, nor shall it be construed to be, an inducement or payment for referral of, or recommending referral of, patients by [Downey Ortho] to PSPM (or its affiliates)[.] In addition, the management fee charged hereunder does not include any discount,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

rebate, kickback, or other reduction in charge, and the management fee charged hereunder is not intended to be, nor shall it be construed to be, an inducement or payment for referral, or recommendation of referral, of patients by [Downey Ortho] [to] PSPM (or its affiliates)[.]

In reality, however, PSPM's management fee was "upside down," such that the percentage of monthly collections Downey Ortho paid to PSPM would cover only a fraction of PSPM's expenses associated with the management of Downey Ortho. Defendant, other Downey Ortho-Affiliated Physicians, Drobot, UCC-A, and other co-conspirators understood that PSPM's percentage of the monthly collections would not be enough to pay the monthly operating expenses and other costs associated with managing Downey Ortho, and that the recurring PSPM deficit would allow defendant and other Downey Ortho physicians to retain a larger share of monthly Downey Ortho collections. Defendant and his co-conspirators understood that PSPM was willing to absorb these losses because defendant and other Kickback Induced Surgeons would refer Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services to Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities. Further, starting in mid-2008, Drobot and other co-conspirators told defendant and Downey Otho's other Kickback Induced Surgeons that they need to use I2 hardware in surgeries at Pacific Hospital. The profits from I2 financed the PSPM kickbacks and subsidized PSPM's losses.

The Kickback Induced Surgeries included surgeries reimbursed under various federal health programs. For example, on or about December 8, 2012, defendant performed surgery on patient G.G. As a result, on or about January 7, 2013, Pacific Hospital mailed a claim for the hospital-billing component of patient G.G.'s medical care to

DOL-OWCP, which administers a federal workers' compensation program (the "FECA program"). On or about February 7, 2013, DOL-OWCP caused a U.S. Treasury Check in the amount of \$147,263.46 to be mailed to Pacific Hospital for reimbursement of various claims, including \$57,445.81 related to the hospital-billing component of patient G.G.'s medical care reimbursed under the FECA program.

Defendant understood that: (1) PSPM existed for Pacific
Hospital's benefit; (2) Pacific Hospital was closely affiliated with
PSPM; and (3) based on the value of Kickback Tainted Surgeries and
Services that defendant and other Downey Ortho physicians referred to
Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities, Pacific Hospital and
Affiliated Entities would subsidize the losses associated with PSPM's
management of Downey Ortho. Had defendant and his fellow Kickback
Induced Surgeons stopped referring and performing surgeries at
Pacific Hospital, defendant knew that the arrangement with PSPM would
be terminated.

Hunt was an orthopedic surgeon specializing in shoulder and knee arthroscopy, who, starting in approximately June 2008, owned and operated Allied Medical Group ("Allied Medical"), a medical practice with clinics in Lawndale and Long Beach, California. As Hunt historically referred spinal surgery candidates to defendant, defendant, along with Drobot, UCC-A, and others, arranged for Drobot to pay kickbacks and bribes to Hunt in exchange for Hunt referring spinal surgeries to defendant that defendant would perform at Pacific Hospital. More specifically, UCC-A and Drobot entered into various contractual relationships with Hunt, including a loan, a substantially below-market sublease, an option agreement, and pharmacy dispensing contracts, to disguise remuneration paid to Hunt

to induce additional spinal surgery referrals to defendant. In connection with Hunt's option agreement, for example, in approximately January 2009, UCC-A, Hunt, and defendant met in UCC-A's office to discuss the monthly volume of spinal surgery referrals from Hunt to Capen. UCC-A and Hunt ultimately agreed that Hunt would be paid approximately \$30,000 per month under a sham option contract to induce and reward Hunt to refer a target of approximately three spinal surgeries per month to defendant, who would perform such surgeries at Pacific Hospital.

Defendant and his co-conspirators knew that the payment of bribes and kickbacks for the referral of patients for medical services was illegal. Defendant also understood the above-described kickback and bribe payments were conditioned on his continued volume of referrals to Pacific Hospital and Affiliated Entities. Moreover, the payment of kickbacks for the referral of Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services performed at Pacific Hospital was to material to health care benefit programs and patients. The use of interstate wires and mailings to execute essential parts of the scheme was foreseeable to defendant. Moreover, interstate wires and mailings were used to execute essential parts of the scheme.

Between 1998 and April 2013, defendant referred or performed Kickback Tainted Surgeries and Services comprising approximately \$142 million of the total amount Pacific Hospital billed to health care benefit programs, and for which Pacific Hospital was paid approximately \$56 million. The parties stipulate and agree that the value of the benefit conferred to Pacific Hospital from the arrangements with defendant, which were designed to steer Kickback

# Case 8:18-cr-00124-JLS Document 6 Filed 06/28/18 Page 38 of 38 Page ID #:94