1	Workers' Compensatio	ON APPEALS BOARD
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3 4	GUM HO HAN,	Case No. LAO 776073
5	Applicant,	OPINION AND ORDERS DENYING LIEN CLAIMANT'S
6 7 8	vs. HAITAI AMERICA, INC.; CIGA for FREMONT INSURANCE, in liquidation,	PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DISMISSING LIEN CLAIMANT'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION
9	Defendant(s).	
10 11	Lien claimant, Robert M. Gromis, M.D. and lien claimant, Better Resources, each seek	
12		
13		
14		
15	have sustained injuries aoe/coe to 'internal/hypertension/diabetes'". The WCJ also found that "lien	
16	claimants and defendants elected to not litigate parts of the body injured" and that "the reasonable	
17	value of services of R. Gromis, M.D., compensable in 2003 - 2/11, 3/17, 4/28, 6/18, 7/30, 9/10 and	
18	8 11/30 - and in 2004 - 1/14, 2/25 and 9/2 - is \$2,725." The WCJ further found that "Better	
19	Resource provided reasonable and necessary Korean interpreting services for applicant at WCAB	
20	hearings 12/22/05 and 6/16/05, the reasonable value of which is \$550.00."	
21	The WCJ concluded the decision as follows:	
22	"11 Better Resource provided reasonable and necessary Korean	
	interpreting services at medical examinations requested by	
23 24	defendants, at AMEs Berman 6/15/04, G. Markowitz, M.D. 10/11/04, and at T. Moldawer, M.D. 9/18/03, the reasonable value of which is \$825.00.	
25	12. Better Resource provided reas	onable and necessary Korean

12. Better Resource provided reasonable and necessary Korean interpreting services at applicant's deposition 12/9/05, for which the reasonable value is \$275.00.

26

27

1	13. Better Resource provided reasonable and necessary Korean interpreting services at medical examination 9/18/03 with Dr. Moldawer in the reasonable sum of \$275.00.	
2		
3	14. Defendants are not liable for the expense of Korean	
4	interpreting services provided by Better Resource in applicant's attorney's offices 2/4/05 and 6/2/05.	
5		
6	15. There is inadequate evidence of interpreting services provided by Better Resource at examinations by T. Moldawer, M.D. other	
7	than 9/18/03, Jamie Miles Yanai, Dr. Garcia, or at Valley Presbyterian Hospital, Center for Rehab Medicine, Sherman Oaks	
8	Hospital, Tower Imaging, or with Herlinda C. Reyes.	
9	16. Lien claimants Gromis and Hepps were timely served with	
10	defense medical reports.	
11	17. There is no evidence when reports and bills of lien claimants Hepps and Gromis were properly served on defendants.	
12		
13	18. Better Resource was paid \$10,025.00 by defendants as of 12/1/03.	
14	19 Defendants did not unreasonably delay payment of \$1,025,00	
15	19. Defendants did not unreasonably delay payment of \$1,925.00 due Better Resource.	
16	20. There is insufficient evidence of bad faith actions sanctionable	
17	by Labor Code 5813.	
18	SUPPLEMENTAL AWARD AND ORDERS	
19		
20	\$2,900.53 less credit for sums previously paid, and in favor of R. Gromis, M.D., \$2,725.00, less credit for sums paid.	
21	IT IS ORDERED the balance of lien claims of Hepps Prescription	
22	Pharmacy, Robert Gromis, M.D. and Better Resource are denied,	
23		
24	Lien claimant, Robert M. Gromis, M.D., contends that the WCJ erred by failing "to	
25	properly review and consider petitioner's evidence" arguing that petitioner should have been	
26	awarded \$12,673.00. Finally, lien claimant also contends that the WCJ's decision fails to set forth	
27	the basis for the award regarding petitioner's lien and "does not make any mention in her opinion	
- '	HAN. Gum Ho	

HAN, Gum Ho

2

that bills for Dr. Gromis where [sic] offered into evidence and basis [sic] whey [sic] it was not considered," Defendant filed an answer.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

Lien claimant, Better Resource, contends that the WCJ erred: (1) by finding that defendants "elected not to litigate parts of the body injured" arguing that lien claimant did claim that applicant sustained injury to "internal (hypertension/diabetes)"; (2) by finding (Finding 15) that inadequate evidence supported lien claimant's lien arguing that lien claimant submitted invoices and defendant did not provide evidence in rebuttal; (3) by failing to make a finding on the issue of "market rate" for lien claimant's services arguing that defendant did not rebut petitioner's evidence of the market rate; (4) by finding that defendant did not unreasonably delay payment of petitioner's lien claim arguing that defendant authorized lien claimant's attendance "at 2 AMEs and at applicant's deposition and with Dr. Moldower"; and (5) by failing to sanction defendant 12 pursuant to Labor Code sections 5813 and 4603.2(b). Defendant filed an answer. Lien claimant's 13 request to file a supplemental brief in the form of a letter was granted.

14 We have considered the allegations of lien claimants' petitions and the WCJ's Report and 15 Recommendation on Petition for Reconsideration (Report) with respect thereto. Based upon our 16 review of the record, and for the reasons stated in the WCJ's Report, which we adopt and 17 incorporate, we will deny lien claimant, Better Resource's, petition. Addressing lien claimant, 18 Robert Gromis, M.D.'s, petition, we will dismiss the petition because petitioner failed to serve 19 defendant with a copy of the petition for reconsideration and file proof of service.

20 We note that a failure to serve documents in a WCAB proceeding in the manner required 21 by statute or the board's regulations is not a mere irregularity but rather an omission of substance 22 which denies a fundamental right. Hartford Acc. & Indemnity Co. v. WCAB (Phillips) (1978) 86 23 Cal.App.3d 1, 3, 43 Cal.Comp.Cases 1193. Dismissal is the appropriate remedy for non-service of 24 the petition on a litigant entitled to receive it. MCA, Inc. v. WCAB (Stott) (1981) 46 25 Cal.Comp.Cases 621 (writ denied); Fisher v. WCAB (2001) 66 Cal.Comp.Cases 517 (writ denied). 26 Pursuant to Labor Code section 5905 and California Code of Regulations, title 8, section

27

HAN, Gum Ho

3

1 10850, petitioner was required to serve the petition on defendant in this matter but failed to do so. 2 Consequently, we will dismiss the petition. 3 Even if lien claimant's petition had not been dismissed for failure to serve defendant, we 4 would have denied lien claimant's petition on the merits as set forth in the WCJ's Report which we 5 adopt and incorporate. 6 For the foregoing reasons, 7 IT IS ORDERED that lien claimant, Better Resource's, petition is DENIED. 8 IT IS ORDERED that lien claimant, Robert Gromis, M.D.'s, petition is DISMISSED. 9 10 SATION APPEALS BOARD WORKER[®] ЮМ 11 12 13 WILLIAM K. O'BRIEN I CONCUR, 14 15 16 JAMES C. CUNEO 17 CONCURRING, BUT NOT SIGNING 18 **JANICE JAMISON MURRAY** 19 20 DATED AND FILED RANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 21 22 SERVICE MADE BY MAIL ON ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES AS SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD: 23 Glauber, Berenson & Salazar 24Wall, McCormick & Baroldi 25 Donald Braun, Esq. Kevin Fisher, Esq. 26 JS/ara 27 HAN, Gum Ho 4