## General Docket United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit				
Court of Appeals Docket #: 21-557 Nature of Suit: 3950 Constitutionali Lydia Olson, et al v. State of Califorr Appeal From: U.S. District Court for Fee Status: Paid	ty of State Statutes nia, et al		Docketed: 07/20/202	
Case Type Information: 1) civil 2) private 3) null				
Originating Court Information: District: 0973-2 : <u>2:19-cv-10956</u> Trial Judge: Dolly M. Gee, Distri Date Filed: 12/30/2019	ct Judge		Data David COA:	
Date Order/Judgment: 07/16/2021	Date Order/Judgment EOD: 07/16/2021	Date NOA Filed: 07/19/2021	Date Rec'd COA: 07/19/2021	
Prior Cases: None				
Current Cases: None				
LYDIA OLSON Plaintiff - Appellant,	Email [COR Gibsc 333 S Los A Blaine Direc Email [COR Gibsc 3161 Suite Irvine Patric Direc Email [COR Gibsc 333 S Los A Dhan Direc Email [COR	, CA 92612-4412 k James Fuster t: 213-229-7117 : pfuster@gibsondunn.com NTC Retained] on, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP Grand Avenue ingeles, CA 90071-3197 anjay S. Manthripragada, AT t: 213-229-7366 : dmanthripragada@gibsondunr	1.com	
	[COR Gibso 333 S Los A Heath Direc Email [COR Gibso	NTC Retained] on, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP Grand Avenue Ingeles, CA 90071-3197 ter Lynn Richardson t: 213-229-7409 : hrichardson@gibsondunn.com NTC Retained] on, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP Grand Avenue		

MIGUEL PEREZ Plaintiff - Appellant,
POSTMATES, INC., (Successor Postma Plaintiff - Appellant,

ates LLC)

Theane Evangelis [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Blaine H. Evanson Direct: 949-451-3805 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Patrick James Fuster Direct: 213-229-7117 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Dhananjay S. Manthripragada, AT Direct: 213-229-7366 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Heather Lynn Richardson Direct: 213-229-7409 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Theane Evangelis [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Blaine H. Evanson Direct: 949-451-3805 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Patrick James Fuster Direct: 213-229-7117 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Dhananjay S. Manthripragada, AT Direct: 213-229-7366 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

Heather Lynn Richardson Direct: 213-229-7409 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Plaintiff - Appellant,	Theane Evangelis [COR NTC Retained] (see above)
	Blaine H. Evanson Direct: 949-451-3805 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)
	Patrick James Fuster Direct: 213-229-7117 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)
	Dhananjay S. Manthripragada, AT Direct: 213-229-7366 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)
	Heather Lynn Richardson Direct: 213-229-7409 [COR NTC Retained] (see above)
v.	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA Defendant - Appellee,	Samuel Thomas Harbourt Direct: 415-510-3919 Email: samuel.harbourt@doj.ca.gov [COR NTC Dep State Aty Gen] AGCA - Office of the California Attorney General Suite 11000 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Tamar Pachter, Supervising Deputy Attorney General Email: tamar.pachter@doj.ca.gov [COR NTC Dep State Aty Gen] California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102
	Jose Zelidon-Zepeda Direct: 415-355-3312 Email: jose.zelidon-zepeda@sfcityatty.org Fax: 415-554-3837 [COR NTC Dep State Aty Gen] San Francisco City Attorney's Office Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102
XAVIER BECERRA, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of California <b>Terminated:</b> 03/17/2023 Defendant - Appellee,	
ROB BONTA, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of California Defendant - Appellee,	Samuel Thomas Harbourt Direct: 415-510-3919 [COR NTC Dep State Aty Gen] (see above)
	Tamar Pachter, Supervising Deputy Attorney General [COR NTC Dep State Aty Gen] (see above)
	Jose Zelidon-Zepeda Direct: 415-355-3312

	[COR NTC Dep State Aty Gen] (see above)
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CALIFORNIA STATE COUNCIL, AND UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL WORKERS UNION WESTERN STATES COUNCIL Amicus Curiae,	Scott A. Kronland Email: skronland@altshulerberzon.com [COR LD NTC Retained] Altshuler Berzon, LLP 177 Post Street Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94108
STATE OF ARIZONA, WASHINGTON, CONNECTICUT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, HAWAII, ILLINOIS, MAINE, MARYLAND, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, NEW JERSEY, NEW YORK, NEVADA, COMMONWEALTH of the NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS, OREGON, and VERMONT Amicus Curiae,	Hayleigh S. Crawford, Attorney Direct: 602-542-8255 Email: hayleigh.crawford@azag.gov [COR LD NTC Dep State Aty Gen] Arizona Attorney General's Office 6th Floor 2005 N Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004
	Anastasia R. Sandstrom, Assistant Attorney General Direct: 206-464-6993 Email: Anastasia.Sandstrom@atg.wa.gov Fax: 206-587-4290 [COR LD NTC Dep State Aty Gen] AGWA - OFFICE OF THE WASHINGTON ATTORNEY GENERAL (SEATTLE) 800 5th Avenue Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188
WORKER RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS Amicus Curiae,	Jonathan Miller, Director Direct: 510-612-0851 Email: jon@publicrightsproject.org [COR LD NTC Retained] Public Rights Project 4096 Piedmont Avenue Suite 149 Oakland, CA 94612
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS Amicus Curiae,	Michael James Bostrom Direct: 213-978-8068 Email: michael.bostrom@lacity.org Fax: 213-978-8214 [COR LD NTC City Attorney] Los Angeles Office of the City Attorney 700 200 N Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
	Divya Musinipally Direct: 510-238-3446 Email: dmusinipally@oaklandcityattorney.org [COR LD NTC City Attorney] OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY One Frank Ogawa Plaza 6th Floor Oakland, CA 94612
CENTER FOR LAW AND WORK Amicus Curiae,	Christina N. Chung, Attorney Direct: 510-872-2203 Email: christinachung@berkeley.edu [COR LD NTC Retained] UC Berkeley School of Law 306 Law Building Berkeley, CA 94720

Christina N. Chung, Attorney Direct: 510-872-2203 [COR LD NTC Retained] (see above) LYDIA OLSON; MIGUEL PEREZ; POSTMATES, INC., (Successor Postmates LLC); UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

V.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA; ROB BONTA, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of California,

Defendants - Appellees.

07/20/2021       1       DOCKETED CAUSE AND ENTRED APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL SEND MQ-ves. The schedule is software and state of software and state of software and state of software and state of california answering brief total 01/82/021. Appelants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Positrates, Inc. and User Technologies, Inc. Molion to user answering brief (12/17/000) (LBS) [Entered: 07/20/2011 (LS 75/81)]         07/20/2021       2       Pilet (ECF) Appelants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Positrates, Inc. and User Technologies, Inc. Molion to 2799 (LS) [Entered: 07/20/2011 (LS) 57/81]         07/27/2021       3       Filed (ECF) Appelants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Positrates, Inc. and User Technologies, Inc. Molion to Questionnare: Other and the Technologies, Inc. Molion to Questionnare: Date of service: 07/27/2021. (L2187769) [21-65757] (Evangelis, Theare) [Entered: 07/27/2021 (L223 PM]         07/27/2021       4       The Mediation Questionnare: for this case was fied on 07/27/2021.         07/27/2021       4       The Mediation Questionnare: for this case was fied on 07/27/2021.         08/02/2021       5       Filed (ECF) Appelants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Positrates, Inc. and User Technologies, Inc. Mediation Questionnare: for this case was fied on 07/27/2021.         08/02/2021       4       The Mediation Questionnare for this case was fied on 07/27/2021.         08/02/2021       5       Filed (ECF) Appelants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Positrates, Inc. and User Technologies, Inc. Neel with position (CCF Filing), 10/2144 MJ).         08/02/2021       6       Filed (ECF) Appelantes Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Positrates, Inc			
1959, 121-196         Consolidate cases 20-55267. Date of service: 07/27/20/2021. [12177968] [21-5577] (Evrangelis, Theane) [Entered: 07/207202 10:22 PM]           07/27/2021         3         Filed (EC7) Appeliants Lyda Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Mediation Questionnaire. Doire of the icase was filed on 07/27/2021.           07/27/2021         4         The Mediation Ouestionnaire for this case was filed on 07/27/2021.           07/27/2021         4         The Mediation Ouestionnaire for this case was filed on 07/27/2021.           07/27/2021         4         The Mediation Ouestionnaire for this case was filed on 07/27/2021.           07/27/2021         4         The Mediation Ouestionnaire for this case was filed on 07/27/2021.           07/27/2021         5         Filed (EC7) Appelients Value Technologies, Inc. and Utimat Consolidation of the case and settlement discussions, non-itigated party related issues, other pending actions, and timing considerations that may impact mediation efforts [1264153] (21-56757] (AD Entered: 07/27/2021.           08/02/2021         6         Filed (EC7) Appelients Lyda Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. repty to response to motion (21) Motion (ECF Filing).           08/20/2021         7         Filed (EC7) Appeliants Lyda Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Networking brief dus Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Mediation (21) Motion (ECF Filing).           08/20/2021         8         Streamlined requ	07/20/2021		set as follows: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Mediation Questionnaire due on 07/27/2021. Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. opening brief due 09/17/2021. Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California answering brief due 10/18/2021. Appellant's optional reply brief is due 21 days after service of the
2 Fig. 178.33 KB         Questionnaire. Date of service: 07/27/2021. [12183797] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 07/27/2021           4         The Mediation Questionnaire for this case was filed on 07/27/2021. To submit pertinent confidential information directly to the Circuit Mediators, please use the following link. Confidential submissions may include any information relevant to mediation of the case and settlement potential. Including, but not limited to settlement history, ongoing or potential estimations, non-ling/add party relates Savier Bacerar and State of California response to motion ([2] Motion (ECF Filing). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189032] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [2] Motion (ECF Filing). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189032] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [2] Motion (ECF Filing). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189533] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [2] Motion (ECF Filing). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189533] [21-55757] (Zengelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021 [23 PM]           08/02/2021         7         Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. New requested due date is 10/18/2021. [12207235] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021. [12207235] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021. [12:09 FM]           08/20/2021         8         Streamlined request [7] by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. opening brief due 10/18/2021. Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. opening brief due 10/18/2021. Appelleas Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. opening brief due 10/18/2021. Appelleas Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Opening brief due 01/18/2021. Opening Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez,	07/20/2021		consolidate cases 20-55267. Date of service: 07/20/2021. [12177968] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane)
08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         0           08/02/2021         7           Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. repty to response (). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [121693032] [21-55757] (Evangelias, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021 [120 5976]           08/20/2021         7           Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. and Uber Technol	07/27/2021		Questionnaire. Date of service: 07/27/2021. [12183797] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered:
a pp 375.69 KB       [2] Motion (ECF Filing)). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189032] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 08/02/2021         08/02/2021       6 a pp. 110.67 KB       Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. reply to response (). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189593] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021 02:32 PM]         08/20/2021       7       Filed (ECF) Streamlined request for extension of time to file Opening Brief by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. New requested due date is 10/18/2021. [12207235] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021 12:09 PM]         08/20/2021       8       Streamlined request [7] by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Dening brief due 10/18/2021. Appelles Xavier Becera and State of California answering brief, [12207406] (JN) [Entered: 08/20/2021 01:43 PM]         09/14/2021       9 5 pg, 14528 KB       Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Motion to extend time to 160 Copening brief until 12/17/2021. Date of service: 09/14/2021. [12228098] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 09/14/2021 01:57 PM]         09/16/2021       10 3 pg, 58.46 KB       Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. cheat and their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of Sc5267, Plaintiffic-Appellants, Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologie	07/27/2021	4	To submit pertinent <b>confidential</b> information directly to the Circuit Mediators, please use the following <u>link</u> . Confidential submissions may include any information relevant to mediation of the case and settlement potential, including, but not limited to, settlement history, ongoing or potential settlement discussions, non- litigated party related issues, other pending actions, and timing considerations that may impact mediation
a Pg. 110.57 KB       response (). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189593] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021 02:32 PM]         08/20/2021       7       Filed (ECF) Streamlined request for extension of time to file Opening Brief by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. New requested due date is 10/18/2021. [12207235] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/20/2021 12:09 PM]         08/20/2021       8       Streamlined request [7] by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file Opening brief due 11/17/2021. The optional reply brief is due 21 days from the date of service of the answering brief due 11/17/2021. (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/20/2021 01:43 PM]         09/14/2021       9         5 pg. 145.29 KB       Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Obening brief until 12/17/2021. Date of service: 09/14/2021. [12228098] [21-55757]         (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 09/14/2021 10:57 PM]       Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) In Appeal No. 20-55267, Plaintiffs-Appellants, Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc., and Uber Technologies, Inc., challenge the denial Ol their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of California Assembly Bill 5 (2019). That appeal was argued and submitted for decision on November 18, 2020. On July 16, 2021 the district court dismissed Plaintiffs' Second Arnended Complaint with prejudice. Appellants filed a timely appeal of the dismissas	08/02/2021		[2] Motion (ECF Filing)). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189032] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose)
<ul> <li>Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc New requested due date is 10/18/2021. [12207235] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/20/2021 12:09 PM]</li> <li>08/20/2021</li> <li>8</li> <li>Streamline request [7] by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file Opening brief due 11/17/2021. The optional reply brief is due 21 days from the date of service of the answering brief. [12207406] (JN) [Entered: 08/20/2021 01:43 PM]</li> <li>99/14/2021</li> <li>9</li> <li>Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Motion to extend time to file Opening brief until 12/17/2021. Date of service: 09/14/2021. [12228098] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Inc., challenge the denial of their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of California Assembly Bill 5 (2019). That appeal was argued and submitted for decision on November 18, 2020. On July 16, 2021, the distint courd dismissed Plaintiffs Second Amended Complaint with prejudice. Appellants filed a timely appeal of the dismissal (Appeal No. 21-5577). Appellants now seek to consolidate the merits appeal with the preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss. If the distint courd dismissal (Appeal No. 21-5577). Appellants now seek to consolidate the merits appeal with the preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss at smoot the separate preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss. If the distint courd is disseled of the panel will address the issue of whether the preliminary injunction ap</li></ul>	08/02/2021		response (). Date of service: 08/02/2021. [12189593] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/02/2021
1       Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. opening brief due 10/18/2021. Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California answering brief. [12207406] (JN) [Entered: 08/20/2021 01:43 PM]         09/14/2021       9 5pp, 145.29 KB       Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. Motion to extend time to file Opening brief until 12/17/2021. Date of service: 09/14/2021. [12228098] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 08/14/2021 01:57 PM]         09/16/2021       10 3 pg. 58.46 KB       Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) In Appeal No. 20-55267, Plaintiffs-Appellants, Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc., and Uber Technologies, Inc., challenge the denial of their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of California Assembly Bill 5 (2019). That appeal was argued and submitted for decision on November 18, 2020. On July 16, 2021, the district court dismissed Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint with prejudice. Appellants filed a timely appeal of the dismissal (Appeal No. 21-55757). Appellants now seek to consolidate the merits appeal with the separate preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss. If the district courts dismissal order is reversed, the panel will address the issue of whether the preliminary injunction was properly denied, in accordance with our precedent. See Nationwide Biweekly Admin., 873 F.3d at 731 (describing this procedure). IT IS SO ORDERED. (SEE ORDE FOR FULL TEXT) [12230428] (OC) [Entered: 09/16/2021 11:42 AM]         09/24/2021       11 4pg, 1223 KB       Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, I	08/20/2021	7	Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc New requested due date is 10/18/2021.
<ul> <li>style, 145.29 KB</li> <li>extend time to file Opening brief until 12/17/2021. Date of service: 09/14/2021. [12228098] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 09/14/2021 01:57 PM]</li> <li>09/16/2021</li> <li>10</li> <li>3 pg. 58.46 KB</li> <li>Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) In Appeal No. 20-55267, Plaintiffs-Appellants, Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc., and Uber Technologies, Inc., challenge the denial of their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of California Assembly Bill 5 (2019). That appeal was argued and submitted for decision on November 18, 2020. On July 16, 2021, the district court dismissal (Appeal No. 21-55757). Appellants now seek to consolidate the merits appeal with the preliminary injunction appeal and to limit briefing on the merits. Appellese, the State of California and Xavier Becerra, oppose consolidation and move to dismiss the preliminary injunction appeal as mootHaving consolidate the appeals, a majority of the panel has voted to grant the motion to dismiss as moot the separate preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss as moot the separate preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss at 731 (describing this procedure). IT IS SO ORDERED. (SEE ORDE FOR FULL TEXT) [12230428] (OC) [Entered: 09/16/2021 11:42 AM]</li> <li>09/24/2021 11</li> <li>11</li> <li>4 pg. 122 3KB</li> <li>Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. reply to response (motion to extend time to file brief). Date of service: 09/24/2021. [1224030] [21-55757]. (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 09/24/2021 10:39 AM]</li> <li>09/28/2021 12</li> <li>12</li> <li>3 pg. 75.76 KB</li> <li>Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. reply to response (motion to extend time to file brief). Date of service:</li></ul>	08/20/2021	8	Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. opening brief due 10/18/2021. Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California answering brief due 11/17/2021. The optional reply brief is due 21 days from the date of service of the answering brief. [12207406]
<ul> <li>Appeal No. 20-55267, Plaintiffs-Appellants, Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc., and Uber Technologies, Inc., challenge the denial of their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of California Assembly Bill 5 (2019). That appeal was argued and submitted for decision on November 18, 2020. On July 16, 2021, the district court dismissed Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint with prejudice. Appellants filed a timely appeal of the dismissal (Appeal No. 21-55757). Appellants now seek to consolidate the merits appeal with the preliminary injunction appeal and to limit briefing on the merits. Appellees, the State of California and Xavier Becerra, oppose consolidation and move to dismiss the preliminary injunction appeal as mootHaving consolidated the appeals, a majority of the panel has voted to grant the motion to dismiss as moot the separate preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss. If the district court's dismissal order is reversed, the panel will address the issue of whether the preliminary injunction was properly denied, in accordance with our precedent. See Nationwide Biweekly Admin., 873 F.3d at 731 (describing this procedure). IT IS SO ORDERED. (SEE ORDE FOR FULL TEXT) [12230428] (OC) [Entered: 09/16/2021 11:42 AM]</li> <li>09/24/2021 11 sign, [9] Motion (ECF Filing)). Date of service: 09/24/2021. [12238060] [21-55757]. (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 09/24/2021 10:39 AM]</li> <li>09/28/2021 12 sign, 75.76 kB</li> <li>Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. reply to response (motion to extend time to file brief). Date of service: 09/28/2021. [12242030] [21-55757]-(COURT UPDATE: Updated docket text to reflect content of filing. 09/28/2021 by SLM] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 09/28/2021 04:29 PM]</li> <li>10/19/2021 13 pg. 101.2 KB</li> <li>Filed clerk order (Deputy Clerk: SSR): Appellants' opposed motion (Docket Entry No. [9]) for an extension o</li></ul>	09/14/2021		extend time to file Opening brief until 12/17/2021. Date of service: 09/14/2021. [12228098] [21-55757]
<ul> <li><sup>4</sup> pg, 12.23 KB</li> <li><sup>4</sup> pg, 12.23 KB</li> <li><sup>9</sup> Wotion (ECF Filing)). Date of service: 09/24/2021. [12238060] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 09/24/2021 10:39 AM]</li> <li><sup>9</sup> 09/28/2021 12</li> <li><sup>12</sup> 3 pg, 75.76 KB</li> <li><sup>11</sup> Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. reply to response (motion to extend time to file brief). Date of service: 09/28/2021. [12242030] [21-55757] [COURT UPDATE: Updated docket text to reflect content of filing. 09/28/2021 by SLM] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 09/28/2021 04:29 PM]</li> <li><sup>10</sup> 10/19/2021 13 <sup>1</sup> pg, 101.2 KB</li> <li><sup>11</sup> Filed clerk order (Deputy Clerk: SSR): Appellants' opposed motion (Docket Entry No. [9]) for an extension of time to file the opening brief is granted in part. The opening brief is now due November 17, 2021. The answering brief is due December 17, 2021. The optional reply brief is due within 21 days after service of</li> </ul>	09/16/2021		Appeal No. 20-55267, Plaintiffs-Appellants, Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc., and Uber Technologies, Inc., challenge the denial of their motion for a preliminary injunction, which sought to enjoin enforcement of California Assembly Bill 5 (2019). That appeal was argued and submitted for decision on November 18, 2020. On July 16, 2021, the district court dismissed Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint with prejudice. Appellants filed a timely appeal of the dismissal (Appeal No. 21-55757). Appellants now seek to consolidate the merits appeal with the preliminary injunction appeal and to limit briefing on the merits. Appellees, the State of California and Xavier Becerra, oppose consolidation and move to dismiss the preliminary injunction appeal as mootHaving consolidated the appeals, a majority of the panel has voted to grant the motion to dismiss as moot the separate preliminary injunction appeal. Judge Forrest would deny the motion to dismiss. If the district court's dismissal order is reversed, the panel will address the issue of whether the preliminary injunction was properly denied, in accordance with our precedent. See Nationwide Biweekly Admin., 873 F.3d at 731 (describing this procedure). IT IS SO ORDERED. (SEE
<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> pg, 75.76 KB</li> <li><sup>10/19/2021</sup> <sup>13</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>13</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>13</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>13</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>13</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup></sup></li></ul>	09/24/2021		Filing), [9] Motion (ECF Filing)). Date of service: 09/24/2021. [12238060] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda,
of time to file the opening brief is granted in part. The opening brief is now due November 17, 2021. The answering brief is due December 17, 2021. The optional reply brief is due within 21 days after service of	09/28/2021		response (motion to extend time to file brief). Date of service: 09/28/2021. [12242030] [21-55757] [COURT UPDATE: Updated docket text to reflect content of filing. 09/28/2021 by SLM] (Evangelis, Theane)
	10/19/2021		of time to file the opening brief is granted in part. The opening brief is now due November 17, 2021. The

11/17/2021	<u>14</u> 179 pg, 842.88 KB	Submitted (ECF) Opening Brief for review. Submitted by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc Date of service: 11/17/2021. [12291020] [21-55757][COURT UPDATE: attached corrected PDF of opening brief. 11/18/2021 by KT] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 11/17/2021 05:13 PM]
11/17/2021	<u>15</u> 725 pg, 18.05 MB	Submitted (ECF) excerpts of record. Submitted by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc Date of service: 11/17/2021. [12291032] [21-55757][COURT UPDATE: attached corrected PDFs of excerpts of record. 11/18/2021 by KT] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 11/17/2021 05:20 PM]
11/18/2021	2 pg, 96.42 KB	Filed clerk order: The opening brief [14] submitted by appellants is filed. Within 7 days of the filing of this order, filer is ordered to file 6 copies of the brief in paper format, accompanied by certification (attached to the end of each copy of the brief) that the brief is identical to the version submitted electronically. Cover color: blue. The excerpts of record [15] submitted by appellants are filed. Within 7 days of this order, filer is ordered to file 3 copies of the excerpts in paper format securely bound on the left side, with white covers. The paper copies shall be submitted to the principal office of the Clerk. [12292271] (KT) [Entered: 11/18/2021 03:19 PM]
11/18/2021	17	Filed (ECF) Streamlined request for extension of time to file Answering Brief by Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California. New requested due date is 01/18/2022. [12292375] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 11/18/2021 03:49 PM]
11/18/2021	18	Streamlined request [17] by Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California answering brief due 01/18/2022. The optional reply brief is due 21 days from the date of service of the answering brief. [12292458] (JN) [Entered: 11/18/2021 04:17 PM]
12/06/2021	19	Received 6 paper copies of Opening Brief [14] filed by Appellants. (sent to panel) [12307228] (SD) [Entered: 12/06/2021 03:16 PM]
12/06/2021	20	Received 3 paper copies of excerpts of record [ <u>15</u> ] in 4 volume(s) and index volume filed by Appellants. (sent to panel) [12307255] (LA) [Entered: 12/06/2021 03:24 PM]
01/04/2022	21 9 pg, 721.2 KB	Filed (ECF) Appellee Xavier Becerra Unopposed Motion to extend time to file Answering brief until 02/17/2022. Date of service: 01/04/2022. [12331354] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 01/04/2022 05:19 PM]
01/10/2022	22 1 pg, 33.17 KB	Filed clerk order (Deputy Clerk: WL): Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief, filed January 4, 2022, is GRANTED. Defendants shall file an answering brief no later than February 17, 2022. [12335650] (WL) [Entered: 01/10/2022 11:14 AM]
02/17/2022	23 75 pg, 433.46 KB	Submitted (ECF) Answering Brief for review. Submitted by Appellee Xavier Becerra. Date of service: 02/17/2022. [12373970] [21-55757][COURT UPDATE: attached correcred PDF of answering brief. 02/24/2022 by KT] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 02/17/2022 04:56 PM]
02/23/2022	24	Filed (ECF) Streamlined request for extension of time to file Reply Brief by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc New requested due date is 04/11/2022. [12377636] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 02/23/2022 11:04 AM]
02/23/2022	25	Streamlined request [24] by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. to extend time to file the brief is approved. Amended briefing schedule: the optional reply brief is due04/11/2022. [12378155] (JN) [Entered: 02/23/2022 03:03 PM]
02/24/2022	2 pg, 95.94 KB	Filed clerk order: The answering brief [23] submitted by Xavier Becerra is filed. Within 7 days of the filing of this order, filer is ordered to file 6 copies of the brief in paper format, accompanied by certification (attached to the end of each copy of the brief) that the brief is identical to the version submitted electronically. Cover color: red. The paper copies shall be submitted to the principal office of the Clerk. [12378723] (KT) [Entered: 02/24/2022 09:26 AM]
02/24/2022	27 38 pg, 465.75 KB	Submitted (ECF) Amicus brief for review (by government or with consent per FRAP 29(a)). Submitted by International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Service Employees International Union California State Council, and United Food and Commercial Workers Union Western States Council in Support of Appellees and Affirmance. Date of service: 02/24/2022. [12379545] [21-55757][COURT UPDATE: attached corrected PDF of amicus brief. 02/25/2022 by KT] (Kronland, Scott) [Entered: 02/24/2022 04:24 PM]
02/25/2022	28	Entered appearance of Amici Curiae International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Service Employees International Union California State Council, and United Food and Commercial Workers Union Western States Council. [12380476] (KT) [Entered: 02/25/2022 02:23 PM]
02/25/2022	29 2 pg, 96.08 KB	Filed clerk order: The amicus brief [27] submitted by IBT, et al. is filed. Within 7 days of the filing of this order, filer is ordered to file 6 copies of the brief in paper format, accompanied by certification (attached to the end of each copy of the brief) that the brief is identical to the version submitted electronically. Cover color: green. The paper copies shall be submitted to the principal office of the Clerk. [12380623] (KT) [Entered: 02/25/2022 03:13 PM]
1		

03/01/2022	30	Received 6 paper copies of Amicus Brief [27] filed by IBT, et al. (sent to panel) [12383412] (SD) [Entered: 03/01/2022 02:30 PM]
03/02/2022	31	Received 6 paper copies of Answering Brief [23] filed by Xavier Becerra. (sent to panel) [12384800] (SD) [Entered: 03/02/2022 04:33 PM]
04/11/2022	39 pg, 351.98 KB	Submitted (ECF) Reply Brief for review. Submitted by Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc Date of service: 04/11/2022. [12417992] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 04/11/2022 06:32 PM]
04/12/2022	33 2 pg, 95.94 KB	Filed clerk order: The reply brief [32] submitted by appellants is filed. Within 7 days of the filing of this order, filer is ordered to file 6 copies of the brief in paper format, accompanied by certification (attached to the end of each copy of the brief) that the brief is identical to the version submitted electronically. Cover color: gray. The paper copies shall be submitted to the principal office of the Clerk. [12418368] (SML) [Entered: 04/12/2022 10:18 AM]
04/12/2022	34	Received 6 paper copies of Reply Brief [ <u>32</u> ] filed by Appellants. (sent to panel) [12419208] (SD) [Entered: 04/12/2022 04:12 PM]
04/19/2022	<u>35</u> 1 pg, 33.27 KB	Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) Oral argument in this case will take place on Wednesday, July 13, 2022 at 1:00 p.m. in San Francisco, California. Counsel may appear in person or virtually. [12425862] (WL) [Entered: 04/19/2022 03:48 PM]
05/01/2022	36	Notice of Oral Argument on Wednesday, July 13, 2022 - 1:00 P.M Courtroom 1 - Scheduled Location: San Francisco CA.
		The hearing time is the local time zone at the scheduled hearing location.
		View the Oral Argument Calendar for your case <u>here</u> .
		NOTE: Although your case is currently scheduled for oral argument, the panel may decide to submit the case on the briefs instead. See Fed. R. App. P. 34. Absent further order of the court, if the court does determine that oral argument is required in this case, you may have the option to appear in person at the Courthouse or remotely by video. Check <u>here</u> for updates on the status of reopening as the hearing date approaches. At this time, even when in person hearings resume, an election to appear remotely by video will not require a motion, <b>and any attorney wishing to appear in person must provide proof of vaccination</b> . The court expects and supports the fact that some attorneys and some judges will continue to appear remotely. If the panel determines that it will hold oral argument in your case, the Clerk's Office will contact you directly at least two weeks before the set argument date to review any requirements for in person appearance or to make any necessary arrangements for remote appearance.
		Please note however that if you do elect to appear remotely, the court <b>strongly prefers</b> video over telephone appearance. Therefore, if you wish to appear remotely by telephone you will need to file a motion requesting permission to do so.
		Be sure to review the <u>GUIDELINES</u> for important information about your hearing, including when to be available (30 minutes before the hearing time) and when and how to submit additional citations (filing electronically as far in advance of the hearing as possible).
		If you are the specific attorney or self-represented party who will be arguing, use the <u>ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF HEARING NOTICE</u> filing type in CM/ECF no later than 28 days before Wednesday, July 13, 2022. No form or other attachment is required. If you will not be arguing, do not file an acknowledgment of hearing notice.[12435358]. [21-55757] (KS) [Entered: 05/01/2022 06:09 AM]
06/13/2022	37	Filed (ECF) Acknowledgment of hearing notice by Attorney Ms. Theane Evangelis for Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc Hearing in San Francisco on 07/13/2022 at 1:00 P.M. (Courtroom: Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor Rm 338). Filer sharing argument time: No. (Argument minutes: 20) Appearance in person or by video: I wish to appear in person. Special accommodations: NO. Filer admission status: I certify that I am admitted to practice before this Court. Date of service: 06/13/2022. [12469806] [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 06/13/2022 12:54 PM]
06/14/2022	38	Filed (ECF) Acknowledgment of hearing notice by Attorney Mr. Jose Zelidon-Zepeda for Appellees Xavier Becerra and State of California. Hearing in San Francisco on 07/13/2022 at 1:00 P.M. (Courtroom: 1). Filer sharing argument time: No. (Argument minutes: 20) Appearance in person or by video: I wish to appear in person. Special accommodations: NO. Filer admission status: I certify that I am admitted to practice before this Court. Date of service: 06/14/2022. [12470665] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 06/14/2022 10:55 AM]
07/13/2022	39	ARGUED AND SUBMITTED TO JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR [12493016] (AH) [Entered: 07/13/2022 02:07 PM]
07/26/2022	40 1 pg, 44.57 MB	Filed Audio recording of oral argument. <b>Note:</b> Video recordings of public argument calendars are available on the Court's website, at <u>http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/media/</u> [12502820] (LW) [Entered: 07/26/2022 03:27 PM]

03/17/2023	41	Appellee Xavier Becerra in 21-55757 substituted by Appellee Rob Bonta in 21-55757 [12675962] (AKM) [Entered: 03/17/2023 08:59 AM]
03/17/2023	<u>42</u> 51 pg, 1.64 MB	FILED OPINION (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) REVERSED IN PART, AFFIRMED IN PART, and REMANDED. Judge: JBR Authoring. FILED AND ENTERED JUDGMENT. [12675970] (AKM) [Entered: 03/17/2023 09:03 AM]
03/17/2023	<b>43</b> 1 pg, 89.45 KB	Filed clerk order (Deputy Clerk: AKM): At the direction of the Court, the parties shall bear their own costs. [12675976] (AKM) [Entered: 03/17/2023 09:05 AM]
03/22/2023	44 33 pg, 262.02 KB	CORRECTED OPINION RE: [42] (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.). Correction: formatting changes and typos corrected. [12679333] (AKM) [Entered: 03/22/2023 10:28 AM]
03/23/2023	45 11 pg, 442.12 KB	Filed (ECF) Appellees State of California and Rob Bonta Unopposed Motion to extend time to file petition for rehearing until 04/28/2023. Date of service: 03/23/2023. [12680596] [21-55757] (Zelidon-Zepeda, Jose) [Entered: 03/23/2023 01:51 PM]
03/27/2023	46 1 pg, 33.12 KB	Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) Appellees' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Rehearing/Rehearing En Banc, filed March 23, 2023, is GRANTED. Appellees shall file any petition no later than April 28, 2023. [12682880] (HH) [Entered: 03/27/2023 04:03 PM]
04/21/2023	47	Filed (ECF) notice of appearance of Samuel Thomas Harbourt, Deputy Solicitor General (Office of the California Attorney General, Suite 11000 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102) for Appellees Rob Bonta and State of California. Date of service: 04/21/2023. (Party was previously proceeding with counsel.) [12700723] [21-55757] (Harbourt, Samuel) [Entered: 04/21/2023 03:21 PM]
04/21/2023	48	Added Attorney(s) Samuel Thomas Harbourt for party(s) Appellee Rob Bonta Appellee State of California. [12700763] (RL) [Entered: 04/21/2023 03:36 PM]
04/28/2023	<u>49</u> 62 pg, 410.51 KB	Filed (ECF) Appellees Rob Bonta and State of California petition for panel rehearing and petition for rehearing en banc (from 03/22/2023 opinion). Date of service: 04/28/2023. [12704748] [21-55757] (Harbourt, Samuel) [Entered: 04/28/2023 08:38 AM]
05/05/2023	50 1 pg, 32.97 KB	Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) Appellants are directed to file a response to Appellees' Petition for Panel Rehearing or Rehearing En Banc. The response shall not exceed 15 pages or 4200 words and shall be filed within 21 days of the date of this order. [12709659] (WL) [Entered: 05/05/2023 10:02 AM]
05/08/2023	51 24 pg, 287.65 KB	Submitted (ECF) Amicus brief for review (by government or with consent per FRAP 29(a)). Submitted by States of Arizona Washington, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Illinois, Maine, Maryland, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Nevada, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Oregon, Vermont. Date of service: 05/08/2023. [12710888] [21-55757][COURT UPDATE: Attached corrected brief. 05/08/2023 by SML] (Crawford, Hayleigh) [Entered: 05/08/2023 12:48 PM]
05/08/2023	52 27 pg, 446.8 KB	Submitted (ECF) Amicus brief for review (by government or with consent per FRAP 29(a)). Submitted by Worker Rights Organizations. Date of service: 05/08/2023. [12711173] [21-55757] (Miller, Jonathan) [Entered: 05/08/2023 02:58 PM]
05/08/2023	53 24 pg, 245.38 KB	Submitted (ECF) Amicus brief for review (by government or with consent per FRAP 29(a)). Submitted by California Labor Federation, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, SEIU California State Council, UFCW Western States Council, and State Building and Construction Trades Council of California. Date of service: 05/08/2023. [12711232] [21-55757] (Kronland, Scott) [Entered: 05/08/2023 03:25 PM]
05/08/2023	54 28 pg, 296.6 KB	Submitted (ECF) Amicus brief for review (by government or with consent per FRAP 29(a)). Submitted by AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEES' PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING OR REHEARING EN BANC. Date of service: 05/08/2023. [12711390] [21-55757] (Musinipally, Divya) [Entered: 05/08/2023 04:31 PM]
05/08/2023	55	Entered appearance of Amici Curiae States of Arizona; et al., and Worker Rights Organizations. [12711397] (SML) [Entered: 05/08/2023 04:33 PM]
05/08/2023	56 1 pg, 91.4 KB	Filed clerk order: The amicus brief [51] submitted by Amici Curiae State of Arizona; et al., is filed. The amicus brief [52] submitted by Amicus Curiae Worker Rights Organizations is filed. The amicus brief [53] submitted by Amici Curiae IBT; et al., is filed. No paper copies are required. [12711418] (SML) [Entered: 05/08/2023 04:40 PM]
05/08/2023	57	Entered appearance of Amicus Curiae Local Governments. [12711432] (SML) [Entered: 05/08/2023 04:47 PM]
05/08/2023	<b>58</b> 1 pg, 89.89 KB	Filed clerk order: The amicus brief [54] submitted by Local Governments is filed. No paper copies are required. [12711436] (SML) [Entered: 05/08/2023 04:49 PM]

05/08/2023	59 29 pg, 323.18 KB	Submitted (ECF) Amicus brief for review (by government or with consent per FRAP 29(a)). Submitted by Brief of Amici Curiae Center for Law and Work and Labor and Constitutional Law Scholars In Support of Defendants-Appellees' Petition for Panel Rehearing or Rehearing En Banc. Date of service: 05/08/2023.
		[12711500] [21-55757] (Chung, Christina) [Entered: 05/08/2023 05:53 PM]
05/09/2023	60	Entered appearance of Amici Curiae Center for Law and Work and Labor and Constitutional Law Scholars. [12711745] (SML) [Entered: 05/09/2023 09:36 AM]
05/09/2023	<b>61</b> 1 pg, 91.67 KB	Filed clerk order: The amicus brief [59] submitted by Center for Law and Work and Labor and Constitutional Law Scholars is filed. No paper copies are required. [12711784] (SML) [Entered: 05/09/2023 09:52 AM]
05/25/2023	62	Filed (ECF) notice of appearance of Patrick James Fuster (GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197) for Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc Date of service: 05/25/2023. (Party was previously proceeding with counsel.) [12722746] [21-55757] (Fuster, Patrick) [Entered: 05/25/2023 01:22 PM]
05/25/2023	63	Added Attorney(s) Patrick James Fuster for party(s) Appellant Miguel Perez Appellant Uber Technologies, Inc. Appellant Postmates, Inc. Appellant Lydia Olson. [12722764] (RL) [Entered: 05/25/2023 01:38 PM]
05/25/2023	64 27 pg, 224.76 KB	Filed (ECF) Appellants Lydia Olson, Miguel Perez, Postmates, Inc. and Uber Technologies, Inc. response to Combo PFR Panel and En Banc (ECF Filing), Combo PFR Panel and En Banc (ECF Filing). Date of service: 05/25/2023. [12722810]. [21-55757] (Evangelis, Theane) [Entered: 05/25/2023 01:55 PM]
08/17/2023	65 7 pg, 233.77 KB	Filed (ECF) Amicus Curiae State of Arizona Motion for 46-5 exemption. Date of service: 08/17/2023. [12776418] [21-55757] (Crawford, Hayleigh) [Entered: 08/17/2023 04:20 PM]
08/21/2023	66 1 pg, 32.76 KB	Filed order (JOHNNIE B. RAWLINSON, DANIELLE J. FORREST and MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) The Application for Exemption Under Ninth Circuit Rule 46-5, filed August 17, 2023, is GRANTED. [12777689] (WL) [Entered: 08/21/2023 11:42 AM]
12/18/2023	67 1 pg, 126.48 KB	Filed Order for PUBLICATION (MARY H. MURGUIA) Upon the vote of a majority of nonrecused active judges, it is ordered that this case be reheard en banc pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 35(a) and Circuit Rule 35-3. The three-judge panel opinion is vacated. [12838536] (MM) [Entered: 12/18/2023 09:07 AM]
12/18/2023	С <u>68</u> 2 рд, 136.47 КВ	Filed order (MARY H. MURGUIA) En banc oral argument will take place during the week of March 18, 2024, in San Francisco, California. The date and time will be determined by separate order. For further information or special requests regarding scheduling, please contact Deputy Clerk Paul Keller at paul_keller@ca9.uscourts.gov or (206) 224-2236. Within seven days from the date of this order, the parties shall forward to the Clerk of Court eighteen additional paper copies of the original briefs and ten additional paper copies of the excerpts of record. The paper copies must be accompanied by certification (attached to the end of each copy of the brief) that the brief is identical to the version submitted electronically. The Form 18 certificate is available on the Court's website at http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/ [12838615] (WL) [Entered: 12/18/2023 10:02 AM]

## Clear All

## • Documents and Docket Summary

O Documents Only

## ✓ Include Page Numbers

Selected Pages: 0

Selected Size: 0 KB

View Selected

	PACER Service	e Center	
	Transaction Re	ceipt	
U.S. C	ourt of Appeals for the 9th Cir	cuit - 12/20/2023 10:48	3:47
PACER Login:	Renefolse	Client Code:	
Description:	Docket Report (filtered)	Search Criteria:	21-55757
Billable Pages:	8	Cost:	0.80