(	Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG	Document 76	Filed 06/16/25	Page 1 of 22	Page ID #:353				
1	BILAL A. ESSAYLI United States Attorney								
2	CHRISTINA T. SHAY								
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division								
4	IAN V. YANNIELLO (Cal. Bar No. 265481) Assistant United States Attorney								
5	Chief, Terrorism and Export Crimes Section HAOXIAOHAN CAI (Cal. Bar No. 331131)								
6	Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section								
7	1500/1100 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street								
8	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-3667/0762								
9	Facsimile: (213) 894-0141 E-mail: Ian.Yanniello@usdoj.gov								
10	Haoxiaoha	n.Cai@usdoj.	gov						
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA								
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
13	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
14	UNITED STATES OF AM	ERICA,	No. CR 24	4-236(A)-SPG	G-2				
15	Plaintiff,	,		EEMENT FOR I PLASENCIA	DEFENDANT				
16	V.		SALVADOR	FLASENCIA					
17	SALVADOR PLASENCIA, aka "Dr. P,"								
18	Defendant								
19									
20									
21	1 This constitutes the place encourse between ONTRADOD								
22	1. This constitutes the plea agreement between SALVADOR								
23	PLASENCIA ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for								
24	the Central District of California (the "USAO") in the above-								
25	captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot								
26	bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting,								
27	enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.								
28									

#### DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

#### 2. Defendant agrees to:

a. At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and
provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to Counts Six, Eight,
Nine, and Ten of the first superseding indictment in <u>United States v.</u>
<u>Salvador Plasencia</u>, CR No. 23-236(A)-SPG-2, which each charge
defendant with distribution of ketamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§
841(a)(1), (b)(1)(E)(i).

9

10

11

24

25

26

1

2

b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.

d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered
for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey
any other ongoing court order in this matter.

e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be
excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing
Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not
within the scope of this agreement.

f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.

21 g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before 22 the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of 23 ability to pay such assessments.

#### THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

3. The USAO agrees to:

a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing containedin this agreement.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

26

27

28

1

c. At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss the remaining counts of the first superseding indictment as against defendant. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider any dismissed charges in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed.

d. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.

Except for criminal tax violations (including 13 e. 14 conspiracy to commit such violations under 18 U.S.C. § 371), the 15 government agrees not to further criminally prosecute the defendant 16 for any offenses arising out of the defendant's conduct related to 17 Victim M.P. and any money received in connection with that conduct. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute 18 19 defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that 20 21 at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged 22 conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, 23 the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing 24 25 Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

#### NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

4. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crimes charged in Counts Six, Eight, Nine, and Ten, that is,

distribution of ketamine, in violation of Title 21, United States
Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(E)(i), the following must be true:
(1) defendant knowingly distributed ketamine; (2) defendant knew that
it was ketamine or some other federally controlled substance; (3)
defendant acted outside the scope of professional practice; and (4)
defendant acted without a legitimate medical purpose.

#### PENALTIES

5. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for each violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(E)(i), is: 10 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

15 6. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum 16 sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 17 40 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine 18 of \$2,000,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from 19 the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special 20 assessment of \$400.

7. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release.

28

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

8. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that he is pleading guilty to a felony and that it is a federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

9. Defendant understands that under 21 U.S.C. § 862a, defendant will not be eligible for assistance under state programs funded under the Social Security Act or Federal Food Stamp Act or for federal food stamp program benefits, and that any such benefits or assistance received by defendant's family members will be reduced to reflect defendant's ineligibility.

10. Defendant and his counsel have discussed the fact that, and defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the convictions in this case make it practically inevitable and a virtual certainty that defendant will be removed or deported from the United States. Defendant may also be denied United States citizenship and admission to the United States in the future. Defendant understands that while there may be arguments that defendant can raise in immigration proceedings to avoid or delay removal, removal is presumptively mandatory and a virtual certainty

in this case. Defendant further understands that removal and immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding and that no one, including his attorney or the Court, can predict to an absolute certainty the effect of his convictions on his immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that his pleas may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

8 11. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to 9 pay full restitution to the victim of the offenses to which defendant 10 is pleading guilty, that is, Victim M.P. Defendant agrees that, in 11 return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this 12 agreement, the Court may order restitution to persons other than the victims of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and in 13 14 amounts greater than those alleged in the counts to which defendant is pleading quilty. In particular, defendant agrees that the Court 15 16 may order restitution to any victim of any of the following for any 17 losses suffered by that victim as a result: (a) any relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with the offenses to 18 19 which defendant is pleading guilty and (b) any counts dismissed 20 pursuant to this agreement as well as all relevant conduct, as 21 defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with those counts.

#### FACTUAL BASIS

23 12. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, quilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant 24 25 and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree 26 that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of quilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the 27 28 Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15 below

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

22

but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

At all relevant times to this factual basis, defendant was a medical doctor licensed to practice in the State of California. Defendant operated and was the owner of an urgent care clinic, Malibu Canyon Urgent Care LLC, located in Malibu, California. Defendant had also applied for and obtained authorization from the Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") to dispense, administer, and prescribe narcotics and other controlled substances, so long as such prescriptions were for a legitimate medical purpose and within the scope of professional medical practice.

As a medical doctor, defendant knew that ketamine was a Schedule III controlled substance as well as a dissociative anesthetic. Defendant knew that some medical providers used ketamine off-label to treat depression and other psychiatric conditions, and that such treatments were not approved by the Food and Drug Administration. At all relevant times, defendant knew about potential risks associated with ketamine, including sedation, dissociation, psychiatric events, abuse and misuse by patients, among others. As defendant's treatment notes reflected, defendant also believed that patients "should be monitored by [a] physician when undergoing treatment as a safety measure."

On September 30, 2023, defendant was introduced to Victim M.P. by one of defendant's own patients who stated that Victim M.P. was a "high profile person" who was seeking ketamine and was willing to pay "cash and lots of thousands" for ketamine treatment. Defendant subsequently contacted Victim M.P. and requested a telehealth visit.

1

2

3

4

Defendant and Victim M.P. spoke by phone and continued to exchange text communications about Victim M.P.'s request for ketamine.

The same day that defendant was introduced to Victim M.P., defendant contacted Mark Chavez ("Defendant Chavez"), a medical doctor who defendant knew had previously owned a ketamine clinic, to discuss Victim M.P.'s request for ketamine. After defendant Chavez confirmed he had ketamine vials and lozenges that he could immediately sell to defendant, defendant informed Victim M.P. that he could provide him with 9, "maybe 18," doses of ketamine. Victim M.P. and defendant agreed that defendant would deliver the ketamine to Victim M.P.'s residence. In response to Victim M.P. asking whether defendant would stay to administer the ketamine, defendant responded: "I will give you first dose if you would like and leave supplies with you."

To obtain ketamine for Victim M.P., defendant traveled to Costa Mesa to purchase ketamine from Defendant Chavez. Defendant Chavez sold defendant four vials of liquid ketamine, an open box of ketamine lozenges that had been previously prescribed to a patient whom defendant did not know, as well as gloves and syringes. Defendant paid Defendant Chavez \$795.

Defendant then traveled to Victim M.P.'s residence, in the Central District of California, where he injected ketamine into Victim M.P. and left at least one vial of ketamine with Kenneth Iwamasa ("Defendant Iwamasa"), Victim M.P.'s personal assistant. Defendant Iwamasa paid defendant approximately \$4,500.

On October 2, 2023, defendant again administered ketamine to Victim M.P. at his residence. Defendant left additional liquid ketamine and ketamine lozenges with Defendant Iwamasa, knowing that

1

2

3

4

Defendant Iwamasa did not have medical training and would be administering the ketamine to Victim M.P. without defendant present.

On October 4, 2023, Defendant Iwamasa sent a text message to defendant indicating that Victim M.P. had run out of ketamine and needed more. In response, defendant confirmed he could deliver more ketamine later that day. Defendant subsequently obtained eight vials of ketamine from Defendant Chavez and traveled to Victim M.P.'s residence where he administered ketamine to Victim M.P. Defendant left additional ketamine vials and lozenges with Defendant Iwamasa to administer to Victim M.P. at a later time. In exchange, defendant was paid approximately \$3,000.

On October 6, 2023, Defendant Iwamasa sent a text message to defendant asking if he could bring the remaining ketamine and supplies to Victim M.P. Defendant then traveled to Victim M.P.'s residence where defendant administered ketamine and left additional vials of ketamine with Defendant Iwamasa. In exchange, defendant was paid approximately \$12,000.

On October 7, 2023, Defendant Iwamasa informed defendant in text messages that he "just ran out" of ketamine and requested more. At approximately 11:29 p.m., defendant responded by text, stating he had two ketamine vials available and offered to meet Defendant Iwamasa in Santa Monica, noting: "Im at third street promenade now . . . If You would like to meet now." On October 8, 2023, at approximately 12:30 a.m., Defendant Iwamasa met defendant near the Third Street Promenade in Santa Monica to obtain vials of ketamine for Victim M.P., for which Defendant received payment.

27 On October 10, 2023, Defendant Iwamasa contacted defendant and 28 requested additional ketamine for Victim M.P. and asked if defendant

1

2

### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 10 of 22 Page ID #:362

could meet defendant Iwamasa and Victim M.P. in Long Beach, California. Defendant then traveled to a public parking lot at the Long Beach Aquarium, where he administered ketamine to Victim M.P. while in the backseat of Victim M.P.'s vehicle. Defendant also left additional vials of ketamine with Defendant Iwamasa. Defendant Iwamasa paid defendant approximately \$6,500.

On October 12, 2023, Defendant Iwamasa again contacted defendant on behalf of Victim M.P. and asked defendant to deliver more ketamine. Defendant traveled to Victim M.P.'s residence where he administered ketamine to Victim M.P. During the treatment, Victim M.P.'s blood pressure spiked causing Victim M.P. to freeze up. Notwithstanding Victim M.P.'s reaction, defendant left additional vials of ketamine with Defendant Iwamasa, knowing that Defendant Iwamasa would inject the ketamine into Victim M.P.

Defendant subsequently placed an order for ten vials of ketamine through a licensed pharmaceutical company using his DEA license. After receiving the ketamine, on October 27, 2023, defendant sent the following text message to Defendant Iwamasa: "I know you mentioned taking a break. I have been stocking up on the meanwhile. I am not sure when you guys plan to resume but in case its when im out of town this weekend I have left supplies with a nurse of mine ...I can always let her know the plan."

The following day, on October 28, 2023, Victim M.P. died from the acute effects of ketamine. The ketamine that caused Victim M.P.'s death was not provided by defendant.

In total, between September 30, 2023, and October 12, 2023, defendant distributed twenty 5ml (100mg/ml) vials of ketamine, less than a full package of ketamine lozenges, and syringes, to Defendant

1

2

### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 11 of 22 Page ID #:363

Iwamasa and Victim M.P. Defendant admits that his conduct fell below the proper standard of medical care and that transfers of ketamine vials to Defendant Iwamasa and Victim M.P. were not for a legitimate medical purpose.

\* \* \*

In connection with a federal investigation into defendant's distribution of ketamine to Victim M.P., law enforcement personnel executed search warrants at two residences associated with defendant on January 25, 2024. Concurrently, Special Agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration served a subpoena for records from defendant's medical clinic, Malibu Canyon Urgent Care, relating to Victim M.P., including any and all medical records and notes.

Prior to receiving the subpoena, defendant failed to maintain adequate medical records documenting medical treatment of Victim M.P., including the various transfers of ketamine described above.

On or before March 1, 2024, in response to the subpoena, defendant failed to provide all original medical records related to Victim M.P. and knowingly provided incomplete and inaccurate medical records, including records that defendant modified after receiving the subpoena.

At the time defendant took these actions, defendant intended to influence the government's investigation into his distribution of ketamine to Victim M.P. and Defendant Iwamasa, which is a matter within the jurisdiction of a department or agency of the United States, namely, the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California and the Drug Enforcement Administration.

1

2

3

4

1

#### SENTENCING FACTORS

Defendant understands that in determining defendant's 2 13. 3 sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures 4 5 under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the 6 7 Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have 8 any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated 9 Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the 10 Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will 11 be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds 12 appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction. 13

14 Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 1B1.2(a) and (c), the parties 14. 15 stipulate that defendant's conduct described on lines 6-26 of Page 11 16 satisfies the elements of an offense that is a more serious offense 17 than the offenses of conviction for purposes of calculating the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level. Accordingly, 18 19 pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 2D1.1(a)(2), 1B1.2(a), and 1B1.2(c), the parties stipulate that the Court should calculate the Sentencing 20 21 Guidelines with respect to this conduct and apply a base offense 22 The parties further stipulate that application of such a level 14. 23 base offense level, which is greater than the base offense level that would otherwise apply, is independently supported by U.S.S.G. 24 25 § 5K2.1. Defendant will not recommend, argue, or otherwise suggest 26 that the Court impose a base offense level other than 14.

27 15. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable28 Sentencing Guidelines factors:

### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 13 of 22 Page ID #:365

Counts Six, Eight, Nine, Ten

 Base Offense Level:
 6 [U.S.S.G. §§ 2D1.1(a) (5) &

 189 Units of Ketamine
 (c) (17)]

#### Pseudo Count

Base Offense Level: 14 [U.S.S.G. § 2J1.2(a)] Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate.

16. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

17. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

17 18. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant18 gives up the following rights:

a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.

26 d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the
27 burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty
28 beyond a reasonable doubt.

e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.

f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.

g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

#### WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

19. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

### LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

20. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total 24 term of imprisonment within or below the range corresponding to an 25 offense level of 15 and the criminal history category calculated by 26 the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the 27 following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and 28 impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment

### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 15 of 22 Page ID #:367

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

21. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a postconviction collateral attack on the conviction or sentence, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or an explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statute to which defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty.

22. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the 24 sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and 25 (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or above the 26 range corresponding to an offense level of 15 and the criminal 27 history calculated by the Court, the USAO gives up its right to 28 appeal any portion of the sentence.

#### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 16 of 22 Page ID #:368

### 1 2

#### RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty pleas 23. 3 pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's quilty pleas on any basis other than a 4 5 claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was 6 involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its 7 obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to 8 pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action 9 that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, 10 then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between 11 the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing 12 commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-13 14 indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the 15 16 date of defendant's signing this agreement.

17

18

19

20

21

#### EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of 24. all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

#### BREACH OF AGREEMENT

22 25. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the 23 signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant 24 25 United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of 26 defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations 27 28 are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the

### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 17 of 22 Page ID #:369

USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

26. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:

a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.

b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

20 c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by 21 defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing 22 occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis 23 statement in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such 24 statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action 25 against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under 26 the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal 27 Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal 28 Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any

1

2

3

4

5

### Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 18 of 22 Page ID #:370

evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

### COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

27. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.

10 28. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are 11 free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information 12 to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the 13 14 Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the 15 16 Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it 17 chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to 18 maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 16 are 19 consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual 20 21 information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services 22 Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed 23 as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not 24 25 to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

26 29. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any 27 sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions 28 different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the

## Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 19 of 22 Page ID #:371

maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be between the statutory mandatory minimum and the statutory maximum.

#### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

30. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

//

# Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Document 76 Filed 06/16/25 Page 20 of 22 Page ID #:372

1							
1	PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING						
2	31. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered						
3	part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the						
4	entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.						
5	AGREED AND ACCEPTED						
6 7	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
8	BILAL A. ESSAYLI United States Attorney						
10	San Ganniello 6/13/2025						
11	IAN V. YANNIELLO Date HAOXIAOHAN CAI Assistant United States Attorneys						
12	4 6/13/25						
13	M6/13/25SALVADOR PLASENCIA DefendantDateDefendant6/13/25						
14	Du 7. M 6/13/25						
15	DEBRA S. WHITE Date Date						
16	Attorneys for Defendant SALVADOR PLASENCIA						
17	CODUCTOR OF DEPENDING						
18	CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT						
19	I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough						
20	time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorneys. I						
21							
22	understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to						
23	those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorneys, and my						
24	attorneys have advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions						
25	that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted						
26	either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions,						
27	and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No						
28	and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No						

1 .

promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorneys in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

SALVADOR PLASENCIA Defendant

6/13/25

Date

#### CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEYS

I am SALVADOR PLASENCIA's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this

ç	Case 2:24-cr-00236-SPG Docum	nent 76 Filed 06/16/25 #:374	Page 22 of 22	Page ID
1	agreement is an informed a	nd voluntary one; ar	nd the factual	basis set
2	forth in this agreement is	sufficient to suppo	ort my client's	s entry of
3	guilty pleas pursuant to t	his agreement.		
4	Ju. 7nh		6/13/24	
5	DEBRA S. WHITE KAREN L. GOLDSTEIN	Dat	e	Sales -
6	Attorneys for Defendant SA PLASENCIA	LVADOR		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19		5		
20				
21 22				
22				
23				
24				
26				
27				
28				
20		22		